

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,399	07/02/2003	Daniel Putterman	MACV.P0011	2580
23349 Stattler-Suh F	7590 12/10/200	8	EXAMINER	
60 SOUTH M	UTH MARKET INGVOLDSTAD, BENNETT			AD, BENNETT
SUITE 480 SAN JOSE, C	A 95113		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, .			2427	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/613,399	PUTTERMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bennett Ingvoldstad	2427		

	Bennett Ingvoldstad	2427						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. Me reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires or. (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever isl later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the control of th	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 								
 They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). No For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ∫ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1:21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
I. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons wity it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.133(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427	/Bennett Ingvoldstad/ Examiner, Art Unit 2427							

Continuation Sheet

Applicant's arguments regarding the rejections of the pending claims have been fully considered, but are not persuasive.

Applicant particularly argues that the Sparrell reference cannot be relied upon to teach the claimed designation of one of at least three states to the tuners of independent claims 1, 9, and 17. Remarks, pgs. 13-16.

As cited in the rejections, the Sparrell reference teaches assigning network resources, including tuners, to media pipelines based on their state of availability. Sparrell para. 0064. The determination of whether a network resource is available or not implies at least two states, namely an "available" or "totally free" state and a "not-available" or "busy" state. Sparrell goes on to teach a third state that exists when a centralized resource manager has no way of knowing when a media pipeline is being used. When the media pipeline ends at a television display, the resource manager cannot determine whether the television is on or off, and therefore whether the network resources of the associated media pipeline are being used or not. Such a state is a third maybe free" state in which resources may be reassigned by a user of the system. Sparrell, para. 0077. Sparrell contrasts this third state, in which the media pipeline ends at a television, with a state in which the media pipeline ends at a television, with a state in which the media pipeline ends at a television, with a state in which the media pipeline ends at a television, with a state in which the media pipeline ends at a television. Sparrell, para. 0073. Therefore, depending on whether the resource manager is able to communicate with the end devices in the media pipeline, the network resources assigned to the pipeline are determined to be in either a "busy" state or a "maybe free" state.

Therefore, the combinations cited in the respective rejections meet the claim limitations.